Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/715,219	DIXIT ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Humera N. Sheikh	1618
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) <u>Humera N. Sheikh</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Nicholas P. Chiara</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>15 August 2008</u>	Time: 3 pm (EST)	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1, 4, 5, 14 and 16		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(A_{i})	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner requested and received attorney authorization to conduct an Examiner's Amendment making the following changes: (1) In claim 1, section (2)(i) (a) to delete the first "active" that occurs in the phrase "its active o-desmethylvenlafaxine active metabolite"; (2) In both claims 4 & 5, section (1)(a) and section (2)(i)(a), between the terms "its" and "active" insert "o-desmethylvenlafaxine; in section (ii)delete "the coating" and replace it with "a coating surrounding the core"; in section (ii) delete the term "if employed"; (3) In claim 14, line 3 the term "a" which occurs before "cellulose ethers" has been deleted; (4) In claim 16, after the number "4", the phrase "wherein the second coating is not optional" has been deleted and replaced with "further comprising a second coating". Applicant adopted the Examiner's suggestions, which would place the application in condition for allowance. A Notice of Allowability will be issued in due course.